Comparison of Ag, Cu and Zn nanoparticles obtained using *Aloe vera* extract and gamma ionizing radiation
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Graphical abstract

The precursor to obtaining metallic nanoparticles and the route of synthesis define the purity, morphology, crystallinity, and chemical speciation of the metal.
Abstract: The characteristics of the nanoparticles of silver, copper and zinc obtained from two synthetic routes were investigated. A possible future application of these nanoparticles is as bactericidal agents. The reduction methods were Aloe vera extract and gamma ray irradiation with a dose rate of 0.756 kGy/h and a total dose of 40 kGy from a 60Co-source. The chemical species of the nanoparticles (NPs) obtained by Aloe vera extract were Ag, CuO, and ZnO; while the NPs obtained using gamma irradiation were Ag and Cu$_2$O. The precursor to obtaining the nanoparticles and the route of synthesis define the purity, morphology, crystallinity, and chemical speciation of the metal.

**Highlights**

- Phytochemicals and ionizing radiation determine the morphology of nanoparticles.
- *Aloe vera* extract and gamma irradiation influence the size of nanoparticles.
- Gamma irradiation (0.756 kGy/h) is efficient in the production of Ag and Cu$_2$O nanoparticles.
- The counterion is important when obtaining Cu$_2$O nanoparticles by gamma radiation.
- The copper oxidation state in the CuNPs depends on the synthesis method.
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1. Introduction

During recent decades, metallic and oxide metallic nanoparticles, including silver (Ag) (Brabazon et al., 2017), copper oxides (CuO, Cu2O) and zinc oxide (ZnO) (Alsawat, Ahmad, & Saleh, 2017) have grown in importance as a consequence of their small size (1-100 nm). They exhibit an upper surface area to volume ratio. This fact improves the physicochemical properties in comparison with those of the same material in bulk (Dizaj, Lotfipour, Barzegar-Jalali, Zarrintan, & Adibkia, 2014).

Among noble metal nanoparticles, Ag NPs have been the object of the attention of many researchers due to their particular characteristics, such as good conductivity, chemical stability, catalytic (Khodadadi, Bordbar, Yeganeh-Faal, & Nasrollahzadeh, 2017), and antimicrobial properties (Hajipour et al., 2012). Silver nanoparticles have been used in a lot of products including clothing (Mohanbaba & Gurunathan, 2016), textiles (Emam, El-Rafie, Ahmed, & Zahran, 2015), food packaging (Williams, Valencia, Gokulan, Trbojevich, & Khare, 2017), electronic components (Ahmed, Ahmad, Swami, & Ikram, 2016), as well as water disinfection systems (Tran, Nguyen, & Le, 2013), and the health industry (wound dressing, dental hygiene, catheters) (Yah & Simate, 2015). The typical plasmon absorbance features that Ag NPs present have been used in the fabrication of chemical sensors and biosensors (Unser, Bruzas, He, & Sagle, 2015).

Copper (Cu) is a more abundant and cheaper element than silver and, similarly to gold, is considered one of the noble metals. However, Cu has better electrical and optical properties than other metallic species (Hori, Nagata, Iwase, & Hori, 2014). Copper oxide (CuO) has a monoclinic crystalline structure, and it is a transitional metal oxide. Nanoparticles of this compound are stable in a mixture with polymers or macromolecules (Ingle, Duran, & Rai, 2014). Nanoscale materials have shown different and improved properties. For example, CuO NPs are more catalytically active than the same compound in bulk (Wang, Wang, Yan, Chen, & Song, 2016). According to the shape, size and composition of the copper oxide nanoparticles, they could present several practical properties (Kumar, Smita, Cumbal, Debut, & Angulo, 2017). They have been considered in materials for gas sensors (Wang & Hu, 2009), dye sensitized solar cells (Baqer et al., 2018), in waste water treatment (catalytic degradation textile dye) (Sharma et al., 2018), and in agriculture (Kasana, Panwar, & Kaul, 2017). They are used in filters, textiles (Bashiri, Majid, Mahnaz, & Rad, 2018) and food packaging too (El-Batal, El-Sayyad, El-Ghamery, & Gobara, 2017) due to their antimicrobial properties (Ahamed & Alhadlaq, 2014).

Zinc oxide is an important semiconductor material (Mahendiran et al., 2017). It is cheap, readily available, and innocuous to the environment (Elumalai, Velmurugan, Ravi, Kathiravan, & Adaikala Raj, 2015). Besides, due to a large exciton binding energy of 60 meV, it has potential applications in optoelectronic devices, such as solar cells (Sutradhar & Saha, 2015). Zinc Oxide (ZnO) nanoparticles have a large specific surface area. This characteristic significantly increases the efficiency of these nanoparticles for several applications, such as UV radiation blocking (Sricharussin, Threeponatkul, & Neamjan, 2011). Zinc oxide nanoparticles have long been known for their antibacterial and antifungal properties (Siddiqi, ur Rahman, Tajuddin, & Husen, 2018). They are also used in catalytic water treatment (Farzaneh, Asgharpour, Nouroozi, & Haghshenas, 2017) and for agricultural purposes (Singh, 2018).

The use of plants (Mohanbaba & Gurunathan, 2016; Kumar, Venkatesh, Bhowmik & Kuila 2018), their parts (Patil & Taranath, 2018) or agro waste materials (Chikkanna, Neelagund, & Rajashekarappa, 2019) in order to obtain nanoparticles rather than using chemical techniques is notable because there are no hazardous chemicals which can be adsorbed on the nanoparticle’s surface. These can lead to adverse effects on pharmaceutical and biomedical applications. In the biosynthesis of NPs using plants, some biomolecules act as reducing agents and others are capping agents for the resulting nanoparticles nucleus, as mentioned by Venkatesh et al. (2015). Therefore, this method is nontoxic, facile, sustainable and can be scaled up for a lower cost.

Aloe vera is native of North Africa, but today is common in several countries around the world. It grows easily in warm and tropical areas. It is 99 – 99.5% water and the remaining material (1-0.5%) contains over 75 biologically active ingredients such as vitamins, minerals, enzymes, sugars, anthraquinones or phenolic compounds, amino acids (Watson & Zibadi, 2013), heterocyclic and carbonyl compounds. That is why this plant and its gel have been used in health, beauty, and medicine for 2000 years (Surjushe, Vasani, & Saple, 2008). Nowadays, the aqueous extract of Aloe vera is employed to elaborate nanoparticles too (Venkatesh et al., 2015). This is due to the presence of benzoquinones, which act as reducing agents in the formation of nanoparticles (Figure 1). Amino acids (among them arginine, histidine, lysine, aspartic and glutamic acid) and proteins, on the other hand, regulate the form and size of nanoparticles by the weak binding of incipient nanocrystals (Zhang et al., 2010).

The use of radiation, especially gamma irradiation is another interesting method for producing metal and metal oxide nanoparticles (Gerasimov, 2011). Gamma irradiation provides a high level of purity in the obtained nanomaterials (Kamil Othman, Abdul Hamid, Saion, Abedini, & Daud, 2013). When gamma radiation interacts with water, different kinds of agents (reducers and oxidants) are obtained (equation 1). Inside these species, there are two more important aspects: i) solvated electrons, which present reductive characteristics,
with \( E_0 = -2.87 \text{ V} \), and ii) the \( \text{H}^+ \) radical with \( E_0 = -2.3 \text{ V} \), as reported by Rojas and Castano (2012). Both reducers can interact with metal ions and promote atoms \( M^0 \) with zero valances (equations 2 and 3). Clifford, Castano, and Rojas (2017) reported that this reduction reaction could be complicated because the ions could be reduced to intermediate valence states. The atom will tend to dimerize, or it will interact with ions to form nucleation points, which will grow into particles to produce a high number of reduced species. It is recommended that before irradiation, the metallic precursor solution be added along with a few milliliters (mL) of secondary alcohol (isopropanol) or formate anion solution. This acts as a scavenger of oxidizer species, especially hydroxyl radical \( \text{OH}^\cdot \) (Flores-Rojas, López-Saucedo, & Bucio, 2018), and possesses a strong oxidation potential of \( E_0 = +2.73 \text{ V} \) and brings ions to a higher oxidation state.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{H}_2\text{O} & \xrightarrow{\text{gamma radiation}} e_\text{aq}, \text{H}_2\text{O}^\cdot, \text{H}^+, \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 & (1) \\
\text{M}^+ + e_\text{aq} & \rightarrow \text{M}^0 & (2) \\
\text{M}^+ + \text{H}^+ & \rightarrow \text{M}^0 + \text{H}_2 & (3)
\end{align*}
\]

Metallic nanoparticles have been obtained from plant extracts. Patil and Taranath (2018) synthesized silver and zinc oxide nanoparticles using leaf extract of \( L. \text{acidissima} \). They found a characteristic surface plasmon resonance (SPR) at 452 and 374 nm, respectively. Besides, both presented spherical morphology with sizes from 21 to 42 nm and 12 to 53 nm, respectively. The extract of \( R. \text{graveolens} \) was used to synthesize zinc oxide nanoparticles, which present microbicidal and oxidant properties. The XRD patterns confirmed the presence of a hexagonal Wurtzite structure with a particle-size range of 20 to 30 nm, according to the results obtained by Lingaraju et al. (2016). \textit{Prunus serotina} cherry extract has also been used for the synthesis of silver nanoparticles with a spherical shape and a diameter of 20-80 nm. These presented a characteristic UV-Vis absorption peak at 425 nm (Kumar, Angulo, Smita, Cumbal, & Debut, 2016). Ghidan, Al-Antary, & Awwad, (2016) have reported that \textit{Punica granatum} peel extract was useful in obtaining nanoparticles of copper oxide. In this case, the absorbance peak was near 282 nm, and the nanoparticles exhibited spherical morphology and an average size of 40 nm.

Figure 1. Reduction mechanism with Aloe vera.
Gamma irradiation is a powerful tool for producing metal nanoparticles in aqueous media because reducing chemical agents are not necessary and no by-products are generated during the exposure process. Hori et al. (2014) fabricated copper nanoparticles using 20 kGy (total dose) at 4.70 kGy/h (dose rate). The particle was 13 nm in size and spherical in shape. UV-Vis spectra showed a peak near 370 nm, assigned to the nanoparticles of CuO, which have a cubic crystal system. In a similar way, Sheikh, Akhavan, and Kassaee (2009) obtained silver nanoparticles which were about 16 nm in size by way of a cubic crystal system, using a Gammacell 220 facility with 18.6 Gy/h.

Therefore, based on the importance of the synthesis route in obtaining metallic nanoparticles with specific characteristics (morphology, sizes, crystallinity, chemical speciation and purity), the aim of this work was to obtain silver, copper or zinc nanoparticles through green and non-conventional routes under different experimental conditions. The characteristics of the nanoparticles obtained were compared to visualize their possible use as bactericidal agents.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Materials and equipment

Aloe vera leaves were purchased from the Morelos market in Toluca, Mexico. ACS grade silver nitrate (AgNO3), copper acetate Cu(CH3COO)2-H2O were purchased from Merck Chemicals. Copper nitrate hemipentahydrated (Cu(NO3)2-2.5H2O) and zinc nitrate hexahydrate (Zn(NO3)2-6H2O) were procured from Sigma-Aldrich Chemicals. Isopropanol was supplied by Tecsiquim S.A. de C.V. Gamma irradiation was carried out using an irradiator LGI-01 Transelektro and facilitated by the National Institute for Nuclear Research (Mexico).

The colloidal solutions of NPs obtained were separated using a centrifuge (model Dorolab CN-CH4). Following the generation of the zinc nanoparticles (ZnNPs) by the biological route (extract of Aloe vera), the intermediate sample was annealed using a furnace (model 62700 Barnstead Thermolyne). The UV-Vis analysis was recorded by the Thermo Scientific Genesyis 10S double beam spectrophotometer, from 200-700 nm. The morphology of the nanoparticles as well their composition was determined by Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) and X-ray Energy Dispersive Spectroscopy (EDS), using a JEOL model JSM-5900LV with a DX-4. The morphology and size of synthesized NPs were observed and captured with a Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) JEOL model JEM-2010, operating at 120 kV accelerating voltage, equipped with a camera, (model ORCAFLASH40CHAM).

Sample preparation was done by suspending NPs in ethanol and sonicating them for 5 min. A drop of this suspension was placed on a grid of carbon-coated copper. Only in the case of CuNPs, was a carbon-coated nickel grid used. A D8 Discover diffractometer was used to record the X-ray diffraction patterns (XRD) of the samples, with Cua radiation (λ= 1.5406 Å). The scanning was done in the region of 4° - 60° 2θ. The X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy analysis (XPS) was carried out with Thermo Scientific model K-Alpha.

2.2. Biological synthesis

2.2.1. Extract preparation of Aloe vera leaves

The Aloe vera leaves were washed with drinking water and dried using a clean rag, and then, 30 g of leaves were finely chopped, and 250 mL of distilled water was added. The mixture was boiled for 10 min. After, the mixture was left to cool to room temperature. The resultant solution was decanted and filtered using a Whatman® Grade 1 qualitative filter paper. Finally, the Aloe vera extract was kept in reserve at 4 °C as a stock solution.

2.2.2. Synthesis of Ag, Cu and Zn nanoparticles

One mL of 30% ammonia solution was discharged into a 100 mL Erlenmeyer flask containing an aliquot of 5 mL of AgNO3 10 mM solution. Next, 5 mL of Aloe vera extract were added under constant agitation at room temperature. Water was added until a final volume of 50 mL was reached. After 24 h (isolated from light), the reaction mixture acquired a faint yellow color. Afterward, the mixture was separated by centrifugation at 6000 rpm for 10 min (twice). The precipitate was washed two times with distilled water and then with ethanol, finally it was dried in an oven at 50 °C for 2 h (Chandran, Chaudhary, Pasricha, Ahmadi, & Sastry, 2006).

Five milliliters of Aloe vera extract were added to a 100 mL flat-bottom ball flask containing 50 mL of Cu(NO3)2-2.5H2O 10 mM solution with constant stirring. Then, the reaction mixture was refluxed for 24 h. While the reaction progress, a color change (from blue to brown) was noted. The obtained dark solution was separated by centrifugation at 6000 rpm for 12 min to complete the precipitation of CuNPs. The black color observed in the CuNPs was washed away with distilled water and ethanol respectively, and then dried at room temperature (Kumar et al., 2018).

One point five grams of zinc nitrate hexahydrate Zn(NO3)2-6H2O was dissolved in 20 mL of distilled water. Then, the solution was added dropwise to a 100 mL flat-bottom ball flask containing 30 mL of Aloe vera extract. Finally, 1 mL of 30% ammonia solution was added to adjust the pH of the medium, with vigorous stirring at room temperature. After 6 h, the resulting white precipitate was centrifuged at 6000 rpm for 10 min, the supernatant was removed, and the sediment was re-dispersed with distilled water and centrifuged once again. The sediment was recovered and air-dried in an oven at 60°C for 4 h. The obtained solid was annealed in a furnace at 380°C for 2 h (Elumalai et al., 2015).
The different materials obtained by this green synthesis were named AgNPsAv, CuNPsAv and ZnNPsAv, respectively.

2.3. Gamma irradiation synthesis
Fifteen mL of every metal precursor solution (0.1 M AgNO₃; 0.1 M Cu(CH₃COO)₂; H₂O) were placed in a corresponding 25 mL flask. Then, 1 mL of isopropanol was added to each one. The flask was covered using septum, after each solution bubbled with highly pure nitrogen for 10 min. The resultant solutions were sealed and irradiated in ambient conditions with ⁶⁰Co γ-rays source at a dose rate of 0.756 kGy/h and a total dose of 40 kGy. The different materials obtained were named AgNPsSy and CuNPsy. It is important to mention that the ZnNPs was not obtained under the experimental conditions established for this purpose.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. NPs obtained with Aloe vera extraction

3.1.1. AgNPsAv
EDS analysis shows the chemical composition of Ag nanoparticles with a weight percent of 65.98±25.23% for Ag, but this analysis exhibits weak signals from C, O, Mg, P and Ca too. These signals may be due to the organic macromolecules present in the Aloe vera extract (Figure 2a). Besides, TEM micrograph (Figure 2b) shows a spherical shape distribution of Ag NPs, with sizes from 2 to 40 nm, and the corresponding histogram of particle size distribution with an average size of 14.0 nm (±9.5), Figure 2c. The absorption spectra (Figure 2d) exhibit a broad peak at 390-450 nm, which corresponds to the characteristic surface plasmon resonance (SPR). As mentioned by Tan and Cheong (2013), if the peak is broad, it means that the size distribution of nanoparticles obtained is widespread. Singh, Bharti, and Meena (2015) explain that the size-dependence of SPR peak position is the result of a size-dependent effective dielectric constant of a metal particle at the nanoscale. The electrons are scattered on the particle surface, leading to changes in this constant due to electromagnetic interaction between neighboring particles. The XRD pattern of synthesized AgNPsAv (Figure 2e) presents two reflections at 38.14° 2θ and 44.32° 2θ, assigned to [111] and [200] planes respectively (PDF 89-3722). These reflections correspond to face-centered cubic silver (FCC). However, impurities were observed in the form of a reflection at 13.40° 2θ. These could be Aloe vera extract components like proteins, amino acids, carbohydrates and phenolic compounds, among others. These act as capping agents because they have acids and carbonyl functional groups that could compensate the Van Der Waals forces between particles which could generate a negative charge around AgNPsAv (Singh, et al., 2015; Logaranjan, Raiza, Gopinath, Chen, & Pandian). The signals at binding energies of XPS spectra (Figure 2f) of 368.5 eV and 374.4 eV correspond to the 3d₅/₂ and 3d₃/₂ orbitals of Ag(0) (metallic silver). This is similar to what was reported by Sharma, Dhillon, and Kumar (2018).

3.1.2. CuNPsAv
EDS results confirm the chemical composition of the synthesized CuNPsAv, with weight percent of 93.33±2.06 for copper and 6.66±2.06% for oxygen, while (Figure 3a) TEM images (Figure 3b) denote a spherical morphology and the histogram of the particle size distribution of CuNPs, with a medium of 6.93 nm (±1.92), Figure 3c. In the corresponding UV-Vis spectrum (Figure 3d), a peak can be observed around 250-300 nm, which suggests the formation of CuO nanoparticles (Zhu et al., 2004), due to the inter-band transition of core electrons of copper metal. This was also reported by Kumar et al. (2017). The reflections observed in the XRD pattern at 32.35° 2θ, 35.37° 2θ, 38.64° 2θ, 48.54° 2θ, 54.27° 2θ and 58.25° 2θ, were assigned to (110), (111) (200), (202), (020) and (-113) planes respectively, and no characteristic reflections of any impurities were detected (Ahamed & Alhadiqaq, 2014), Figure 3e. According to Wang et al. (2016) reflections can be indexed to the monoclinic structure of CuO nanoparticles, corresponding with PDF 80-1916. XPS spectra analysis (Figure 3f), on the other hand, presents a typical Cu (II) 2p₃/₂ binding energy at 934.4 eV and Cu (II) 2p₅/₂ 954.3 eV, values separated from 19.9 eV, which is similar to that reported by Kwon et al. (2013).

3.1.3. ZnNPsAv
The EDS analysis of ZnO nanoparticles exhibits signals for zinc (84.90±3.18 wt. %) and oxygen (15.09±3.18 wt. %), similar to those reported by Singh (2018), Figure 4a. Representative TEM images of nanoparticles reveal a spherical morphology shown in Figure 4b. The UV-Vis spectra (Figure 4c) have an absorption peak at 378 nm, which is characteristic of ZnO nanoparticles. Elumalai Velmurugan, Ravi, Kathiravan, and Ashokkumar (2015) attributed this peak to the intrinsic bandgap absorption of ZnO due to the electron transition from the valence band to the conduction band. The powder diffraction pattern (Figure 4d) of the sample exhibits reflections at 31.80° 2θ, 34.46° 2θ, 36.32° 2θ, 47.54° 2θ and 56.59° 2θ. These can be indexed to (100), (002), (101), (102) and (110) planes, respectively, assigned to a hexagonal Wurtzite structure (PDF 89-1397). The Scherrer equation (Rehana, Mahendiran, Kumar, & Rahiman, 2017) (equation 4) was used to calculate average particle size:

\[ D = \frac{k \lambda}{\beta \cos \theta} \]  

Where D is the crystallite size in nm, K is the shape factor (0.94), \( \lambda \) is the wavelength of the X-ray (0.154 nm), the full width at half maximum (FWHM) for the main intensity reflection in the diffraction pattern, \( \theta \) is the Bragg's angle.
The calculated size of ZnO nanoparticles was of 5 nm agreed with TEM images (Figure 4b). The high-resolution Zn 2p XPS spectrum (Figure 4e) consists of two peaks of Zn 2p$_{3/2}$ and Zn 2p$_{1/2}$, which correspond to 1021.6 eV and 1044.6 eV, respectively. The separation between these two peaks is 23 eV, which is similar to the standard reference value of ZnO (Yu, Wen, Yang, & Cai, 2019)
Figure 2. EDS pattern and wt. (%) of each component (a), TEM image and histogram of distribution of particle size (b, c), UV-Vis spectra (d), XRD diffraction pattern (e), and high resolution XPS spectrum for Ag 3d (f), of AgNPsAv.
(a) 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Wt.%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>93.33 ± 2.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>6.66 ± 2.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(b) 

(c)
Figure 3. EDS pattern and wt. (%) of each component (a), TEM image and histogram of distribution of particle size (b, c), UV-Vis spectra (d) XRD diffraction pattern (e), and high resolution XPS spectrum for Cu 2p (f), of CuONPsAv.
3.2. NPs obtained by gamma irradiation reduction

3.2.1. AgNPsγ

EDS analysis shows no other weak signal, which indicates the purity (100%) of AgNPsγ (Figure 5a). As observed in TEM image (Figure 5b), the primary morphology of radiolytically synthesized Ag nanoparticles is spherical. This is the result of isotropic growth, while another small portion of NPs is hexagonal and caused by anisotropic growth. Crystal morphologies of the nanoparticles are determined by the rate of growth in different crystallographic directions. NP size can be controlled by experimental parameters which include reducing agent, pH, irradiation time (total dose) and dose rate irradiation. According to Singh et al. (2015) the appearance of anisotropic structures is attributed to a slow reaction rate, which reduces the quantity of nucleation sites to promote site-specific growth. Dose rate is a key factor to control the rate of reduction reaction of the metal ion. If a solution with the ion metallic species is irradiated with gamma rays at a low dose rate (as in this case), the generation of reducing radicals is lower than the combination of ions. Therefore, the generation of reducing agents is slower than the association of ions with atoms. As a consequence, the reduction process occurs preferentially on the surface of the aggregates (Belloni, 2006). The size distribution of the particles (Figure 5c) shows the polydispersity of the nanoparticles, ranging from 1 to 8 nm with a mean of 2.66 nm (±1.29). The UV-Vis spectra (Figure 5d) exhibit an absorption band at 380 - 450 nm, that corresponds to the surface plasmon absorption of Ag nanoparticles, similar to that reported by Sheikh et al. (2009). The X-ray diffraction reflections at 38.14° 2θ and 44.32° 2θ are typical of metallic silver particles (Figure 5e) (PDF 89-1397) and exhibit face-centered cubic (FCC) structure, in a similar way to when Aloe vera extract was used for the generation of these metallic nanoparticles. The high-resolution Ag 3d XPS spectrum (Figure 5f) presents two peaks at 368.4 eV and 374.4 eV. These belong to the Ag 3d5/2 and Ag 3d3/2 orbitals, respectively. The peak position, as well as the energy gap between these (6 eV), correspond with that from silver metal (Daiyan, Lu, Ng, & Amal, 2017).

3.2.2. CuNPsγ

EDS results confirm the presence of copper (96.72±0.72 wt. %) and oxygen (3.27±0.72 wt. %) in the CuONPsγ (Figure 6a). The SEM image shows well defined crystals with a geometrical figure, similar to tetrapod (Figure 6b), which may be because CuO sub-units attach to growing crystal faces, like tetrahedral. In addition, TEM image (Figure 6c) shows that the morphology of these nanoparticles is spherical with a mean diameter of 4.3 nm (±0.99), Figure 6d. Figure 6e, on the other hand, shows a bigger cluster. This fact can be explained by the use of a low radiation dose rate. Belloni (2006) observed that dose rate influences the rate of metal ion reduction. When the precursor is exposed to gamma radiation at a small dose rate, the generation of reducing radicals is lower than the combination of ions. Therefore, the process of the reduction happens principally on the surface of the obtained aggregates. It means that the reduction reaction of the ions does not participate in
the obtaining of new clusters. However, they could promote the growth of those already formed. Besides, the UV-Vis absorption peak (Figure 6f) at around 370 nm originates from Cu$_2$O nanoparticles. This is consistent with Salavati-Niasari and Davar (2009). The XRD peaks at 29.55° 2θ, 36.41° 2θ, 42.29° 2θ correspond to the (110), (111) and (200) planes of the Cu$_2$ONPs (PDF 05-0667) (Rehana et al., 2017), with a cubic crystal system. According to the pattern, there are no impurities (Figure 6g). XPS spectrum (Figure 6h), has characteristic Cu(II) 2p$_{3/2}$ binding energy at 932.3 eV separated from Cu(II) 2p$_{1/2}$ by 19.9 eV, which is similar to that reported by Biesinger (2017).
Figure 5. EDS pattern and wt. (%) of each component (a), TEM image and histogram of distribution of particle size (b, c), UV-Vis spectra (d), XRD diffraction pattern (e), and high resolution XPS spectrum for Ag 3d (f), of AgNPs.
3.2.3. Characteristics of metal and metal oxide nanoparticles obtained by a green synthesis or gamma irradiation

Table 1 shows the different characteristics of the Ag, Cu and Zn nanoparticles synthesized through both Aloe vera and gamma irradiation routes. The chemical speciation of silver shows that Ag(0) is obtained using Aloe vera extract and gamma irradiation as reducing agents. The shape of the nanoparticles varies depending on the route of synthesis which is spherical when the extract of Aloe vera is used as reducing agent in contrast with spherical and hexagonal shapes when the gamma irradiation has interacted with the Ag(I) solution. The distribution of particle sizes has a greater amplitude for AgNPsAv (from 0 to 40 nm) than that found for AgNPsγ (from 0 to 10 nm). The mean particle size in these cases was 14 nm and 2.66 nm, respectively. For copper, the chemical speciation of the nanoparticles obtained varies according to the action of the reducer on the counterion of Cu(II) in solution. The contact of the Cu(NO₃)₂ with the Aloe vera extract favors the formation of CuO nanoparticles. When the same solution was exposed to gamma irradiation, nanoparticles were not obtained. However, when the Cu(CH₃COO)₂ was irradiated with a ⁶⁰Co gamma source, nanoparticles of Cu₂O were generated. This result confirms the importance of the counterion of Cu(II) in nanoparticle synthesis by gamma irradiation. The shape of the copper nanoparticles depends on the route of synthesis and these nanoparticles have a spherical form for CuNPsAv and spheres and clusters for CuNPsγ. The size range of the nanoparticles obtained from both synthesis routes is similar and the mean size was 6.93 nm for CuNPsAv and 4.34 nm for CuNPsγ. The chemical species obtained for the zinc nanoparticles was ZnO when the Zn(NO₃)₂ solution was in contact with the Aloe vera extract. The shape of these nanoparticles is spherical, with a mean size of 5 nm. In the particular case of Zn(II), it was not possible to generate the nanoparticles under the experimental condition of gamma irradiation even when the counterion was different from NO₃⁻.
Tables 1 & 2. Characteristics of metallic nanoparticles obtained by Aloe vera extract and gamma irradiation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Synthesis route</th>
<th>Metallic nanoparticle</th>
<th>Precursor</th>
<th>Chemical speciation</th>
<th>Shape</th>
<th>Size range (nm)</th>
<th>Mean size (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aloe vera</td>
<td>AgNPsAv</td>
<td>AgNO₃</td>
<td>Ag(0)</td>
<td>Spherical</td>
<td>0-40</td>
<td>14.00 (±9.50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CuNPsAv</td>
<td>Cu(NO₃)₂-2.5H₂O</td>
<td>CuO</td>
<td>Spherical</td>
<td>0-8</td>
<td>Not obtained</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ZnNPsAv</td>
<td>Zn(NO₃)₂-6H₂O</td>
<td>ZnO</td>
<td>Spherical</td>
<td>0-10</td>
<td>2.66 (±1.29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gamma irradiation</td>
<td>AgNPsγ</td>
<td>AgNO₃</td>
<td>Ag(0)</td>
<td>Spherical and hexagonal</td>
<td>0-10</td>
<td>2.66 (±1.29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CuNPsγ</td>
<td>Cu(CH₃COO)₂-H₂O</td>
<td>CuO</td>
<td>Spherical and clusters</td>
<td>0-8</td>
<td>4.34 (±0.99)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ZnNPsγ</td>
<td>Zn(NO₃)₂-6H₂O</td>
<td>Not obtained</td>
<td>Not obtained</td>
<td>Not obtained</td>
<td>Not obtained</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There are several papers in the literature regarding the obtention of metallic or metal oxide nanoparticles using Aloe vera and other kinds of plants. This is known as “green synthesis”. Furthermore, the formation of nanoparticles by gamma irradiation can be considered as a clean synthesis. In both synthesis routes, the advantage is that toxic by-products are not generated. Therefore, it is essential to take account that the characteristics of the nanoparticles (such as chemical speciation, shape, and size) will affect the adsorption properties as well as on their antimicrobial activity. For this reason, it is important to consider the experimental conditions for obtaining them. The silver nanoparticles discussed in this paper were synthesized with Aloe vera extract and showed similar chemical speciation and morphology to those obtained by Tippayawat, Phromviyo, Boueroy, and Chompoosor (2016). However, the sizes were smaller. When other types of plants were used, the size range obtained was wide (Table 2). With respect to the nanoparticles of copper, the chemical speciation (CuO) was similar to that observed by Gunalan, Sivaraj, and Venckatesh (2012). These authors modified the morphology of these nanoparticles using different amounts of the Aloe vera extract. The sizes of the CuO nanoparticles obtained in the present work were lower than those obtained by the same authors. The characteristics of the Cu nanoparticles obtained by way of other plant extracts vary in the chemical speciation, the morphology, and the size range. It is important to note that the precursors were Cu(CH₃COO)₂ or CuSO₄. In the case of this paper, it was Cu(NO₃)₂. The Zn nanoparticles obtained were similar in their chemical speciation to those obtained by Ali et al. (2016), while the morphology varied. The ZnO nanoparticles are smaller in size than those obtained by these authors. In comparison with the use of other plants for synthesis, the morphologies and the sizes of the generated nanoparticles were diverse.

The size of silver nanoparticles obtained by gamma irradiation was smaller than that obtained by green synthesis. This includes the Aloe vera extract. The morphology was spherical and hexagonal using gamma irradiation and plants. The chemical speciation was the same (Ag⁺), independently of the route of the synthesis (Tables 1-3). The rate dose of irradiation used in the present work was 0.756 kGy/h, and Dhayagude, Das, Joshi, and Kapoor (2018) used 0.99 kGy/h (0.0165 kGy/min). In both cases, the same morphologies of the silver nanoparticles were observed. When the dose rate is higher than 0.7 kGy/h, only spherical morphologies were found (Table 3). It seems that the total dose did not influence this. However, the dose rate and total dose influenced the obtained particle size. Another factor that impacts on the characteristics of the silver nanoparticles obtained by gamma irradiation is the precursor. In the case of AgClO₄, the nanoparticles had a particle size larger than the precursor AgNO₃.
Table 2. Plants as reducing agents to obtain metallic or oxide metallic nanoparticles under different experimental conditions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variety (Liliaceae)</th>
<th>Variety</th>
<th>Metallic specie</th>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>Chemical speciation</th>
<th>Morphology</th>
<th>Size nm</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aloe vera</td>
<td>Ag</td>
<td>AgNO&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Extract of leaves Temperatures from 100 to 200 °C During 6 h or 12 h</td>
<td>Ag&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Spherical</td>
<td>70-192 nm</td>
<td>(Tippayawat et al., 2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azadirachta indica</td>
<td>Ag</td>
<td>AgNO&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt; with aqueous leaf extract at room temperature for 24 h</td>
<td>Ag&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Spherical</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>(Ahmed, Saifullah, Ahmad, Swami, &amp; Ikram, 2016)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Berberis vulgaris</td>
<td>Ag</td>
<td>AgNO&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt; with aqueous extract for 1 h, room temperature</td>
<td>Ag&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Spherical</td>
<td>30 to 70</td>
<td>(Behravan et al., 2019)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acacia catechu</td>
<td>Ag</td>
<td>AgNO&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt; with aqueous extract 24 h room temperature</td>
<td>Ag&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Spherical</td>
<td>5-80</td>
<td>(Chand et al., 2020)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aloe vera</td>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>Cu(CH&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;COO)&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;.H&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O with peels aqueous extract 10 min room temperature</td>
<td>CuO</td>
<td>The morphology of the nanoparticles can be controlled by tuning the amount of Aloe vera extract</td>
<td>15-30</td>
<td>(Gunalan et al., 2012)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Punica granatum</td>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>Cu(CH&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;COO)&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;.H&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O with peels aqueous extract 10 min room temperature</td>
<td>Cu(II)</td>
<td>Spherical</td>
<td>10-100</td>
<td>(Ghidan et al., 2016)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citrus medica</td>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>CuSO&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt; with juice boiling temperature</td>
<td>Cu&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>10-60</td>
<td>(Shende, Ingle, Gade, &amp; Rai, 2015)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syzygium aromaticum</td>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>Cu(CH&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;COO)&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;.H&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O with aqueous extract 15 min 30°C</td>
<td>Cu&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Spherical</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>(Rajesh, Ajitha, Reddy, Suneetha, &amp; Reddy, 2018)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aloe vera</td>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>Leaf extract</td>
<td>ZnO</td>
<td>Spherical, oval, hexagonal</td>
<td>8–20</td>
<td>(Ali et al., 2016)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deverra tortuosa</td>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>Heated Zn(NO&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;)2.6H&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O over night with the aqueous extract</td>
<td>ZnO</td>
<td>Spherical</td>
<td>9 to 31</td>
<td>(Selim, Azb, Ragab, &amp; Abd El-Azim, 2020)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lycopersicon esculentum</td>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>Heated Zn(NO&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;)&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt; with juice at 80°C for 5 min</td>
<td>ZnO</td>
<td>Spherical</td>
<td>40 to 100</td>
<td>(Sutradhar &amp; Saha, 2016)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trifolium pratense</td>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>ZnO with flower powder 4 h 90°C, then 24 h 30°C</td>
<td>ZnO</td>
<td>Irregular</td>
<td>Agglomerated 100-190</td>
<td>(Dobrucka &amp; Długaszewska, 2016)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The sizes of the copper oxide nanoparticles were smaller, and the morphology varied using a $^{60}$Co source with respect to Aloe vera extract and the distribution of sizes were minor (Tables 2 and 3). The nanoparticles obtained by gamma irradiation presented a chemical speciation of Cu$_2$O and the speciation changed to CuO when the Aloe vera extract was used for synthesis. The precursor was not the same in both synthesis routes and this is a factor to be considered in the generation of the oxide copper nanoparticles. When the copper precursor was CuSO$_4$, Josi et al. (1998) found a chemical speciation of Cu$^+$ and Ahmad, Ahmad, and Radiman (2009) observed two chemical speciation Cu$^+$ and Cu(I). These differences can be attributed to dose rates (Table 3). In the case of the present work, the Cu(CH$_3$COO)$_2$.H$_2$O was used as precursor in a similar way than Hori et al. (2014). These authors observed three different chemical species Cu$^+$, Cu(I) and Cu(II) with spherical shape. However, in the case of this work only one chemical species of nanoparticles was observed Cu(I) as Cu$_2$O, with spherical morphology. Nevertheless, clusters of copper were also presented. The distribution of the nanoparticles were between 0 and 8 nm using a dose rate of 0.756 kGy/h and when this dose increased to 4.7 kGy/h the interval of sizes increases from 2 to 60 nm (Table 3) as was reported in the literature (Hori et al., 2014).

The nanoparticles of zinc oxide were not obtained using Zn(NO$_3$)$_2$.6H$_2$O as a precursor when they were submitted to a gamma irradiation field with a dose rate of 0.756 kGy/h and a total dose of 40 kGy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Metallic specie</th>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>Chemical speciation</th>
<th>Morphology</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$^{60}$Co</td>
<td>Ag</td>
<td>AgNO$_3$ Doses rate 1.2 kGy/h Total doses 30kGy Room temperature</td>
<td>Ag$^+$</td>
<td>Spherical</td>
<td>10-25</td>
<td>(Gracien et al., 2019)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ag</td>
<td>AgNO$_3$ Doses rate 6 kGy/h Total doses 12kGy</td>
<td>Ag$^+$</td>
<td>Spherical</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>(Rao et al., 2010)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ag</td>
<td>AgClO$_4$ Doses rate 0.0165 kGy/min</td>
<td>Ag$^+$</td>
<td>Spherical (low total dose 0.5 kGy), Rectangular, pentagonal and hexagonal nanoplates (high total dose 4kGy)</td>
<td>15-60</td>
<td>(Dhayagude et al., 2018)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>CuSO$_4$.5H$_2$O Doses rate 25kGy/h</td>
<td>Cu$^+$</td>
<td>Spherical</td>
<td>17-80</td>
<td>(Joshi, Patil, Iyer, &amp; Mahumuni, 1998)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>CuSO$_4$.5H$_2$O Doses rate 2kGy/h Total doses 100kGy</td>
<td>Cu$^+$, Cu(I)</td>
<td>Spherical</td>
<td>2-10</td>
<td>(Ahmad et al., 2009)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>Cu(CH$_3$COO)$_2$.H$_2$O Doses rate 4.7kGy/h Total doses 20kGy</td>
<td>Cu$^+$, Cu(I), Cu(II)</td>
<td>Spherical</td>
<td>2-60</td>
<td>(Hori et al., 2014)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
One of the most important properties of the metal or metal oxide nanoparticles is their ability to disinfect. Therefore, the microbicidal effect of these nanoparticles is related to the following characteristics: morphology, shape, and particle size, as was reported in the literature (Dizaj et al., 2014). Small nanoparticles (less than 30 nm) have the strongest bactericidal effect due to their capability of penetrating into bacterial cell wall and membrane, then, the positive surface charge of nanoparticles facilitates their binding to the negatively charged surface of the bacteria, causing membrane damage (Seil & Webster, 2012). Cheon, Kim, Rhee, Kwon, and Park (2019), report that the morphological dependence on antimicrobial activity of the NPs of Ag was, mainly, explained by total surface area and the number of Ag ions that were released.

4. Conclusions

The purity of the AgNPsAv is 100% and its image reveals spherical and hexagonal shapes, with the appearance of anisotropic structures. AgNPsAv has 65.98 wt.% with the presence of other elements such as carbon, oxygen, magnesium, calcium and phosphorous. Also, AgNPsAv clearly show the presence of organic material capping the particles which are spherical in shape and vary in size. Regarding CuNPsAv, it presents 93.33% wt. % and oxygen 6.66% wt. in comparison to CuNPsSy with 96.72% wt. % and oxygen 3.27 wt. %. The synthesis of CuNPs by gamma irradiation produces bigger clusters by the different growth rates of bounding faces of their subunits to form geometrical figures. The metallic nanoparticles obtained through both Aloe vera and gamma irradiation methods show crystalline structure. Only in the case of the AgNPsAv phytochemical impurities are observed. The chemical speciation of the metallic species in both AgNPsAv and AgNPsSy is Ag(0), for CuNPsAv and CuNPsSy the Cu is observed as Cu(II) and Cu(I). In ZnNPsAv, the chemical speciation of Zn is Zn(II). It is not possible to obtain Zn nanoparticles under the experimental condition of this work by gamma irradiation. The precursor to obtaining copper nanoparticles and the experimental conditions from both synthetic methods determine the purity, morphology, crystallinity and chemical speciation of the metal.

The NPs generated by both methods are easily scaled up for production and suitable for several applications such as bactericidal agents, to name only one.
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